

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
1. Name of Firm: M/s SANGUINE INFRA TECH PVT LTD				
1.	Appendix I, Page no. – 24, point no 2	Human resource as per the Para 8.2.1 A (Project value more than Rs. 200 crs) minimum 20 FTE.	Please inform the project value more than Rs. 200 crs mean the FTE should have experience of undertaking service for 1 project of Rs. 200 crs or 4 projects of Rs. 50 crs.	There is no linkage between project cost and FTE Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
2.	General		In case the FTE has to carry out service for project value more than Rs. 200 crs then whether weightage will be consider for multiple numbers of project of value more than Rs. 200 crs as compare to FTE having experience of 1 project of value more than Rs. 200 crs.	There is no linkage between project cost and FTE Please refer to clause 8.2 at page 11-12
3.	General		In case if FTE having experience of more than 4 nos. project of value more than Rs. 50 crs but less than Rs. 200 crs will also get the weightage.	There is no linkage between project cost and FTE Please refer to clause 8.2 at page 11-12

**Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021**

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
2. Name of Firm: M/s Kalinth Advisors Private Ltd. (Black Brix)				
4.	Point 7.1 of Clause 7; Page 10	Average annual turnover during the last 3 financial years ending with 31 st March (2017-18,2018-19 and 2019-20) should be at least Rs 200 lakhs for Class-A and Rs. 60 Lakhs for Class-B empanelment.	Relaxation of minimum Annual Turnover Criteria so as to allow Organisations with suitable technical experience to participate and the make the process more competitive. (We are recognised as a Category ‘D’ (Micro) MSME (UAM No. – TN02D0156946) by the Ministry of Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises. And Our team has rich prior experience working in Ports and Maritime sector and we carry rich on ground geographical Experience across sectors.) We request that the text be altered to “Average annual turnover during the last 3 financial years ending with 31st March (2017- 18, 2018-19 and 2019-20) should be at least Rs 200 lakhs for Class-A and Rs. 50 Lakhs for Class-B empanelment. The financial years considered for this RFE shall be any of the last three financial years (2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20. 2020- 2021)”	Relaxations to MSMEs and Startups would be provided as per prevailing government policy and guidelines Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
5.	Point 9.7 of Clause 9; Page 13	The bidder must be a well established consultant for more than 5 years having experience in conceptualizing/planning/engi neering/design/proof checking,	We would request the relaxation of the five years minimum operation criteria for the firm; so as to aid start-ups and make the process more competitive. (We are currently advising the Karnataka Maritime Board for Strategic Development,	Relaxations to MSMEs and Startups would be provided as per prevailing government policy and guidelines Please refer to

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		<p>preparing feasibility reports, design and estimation, preparation of architectural / structural drawings, preparation of tender documents, evaluation of tenders, comprehensive project management with quality and quantity survey, preparation of bills etc. of the work (s) in the respective category.</p>	<p>Operationalization, and PMU Support and We have prepared and submitted more than 12 DPRs/DFRs to Government of India. Our team has rich prior experience working in Ports and Maritime sector and we carry rich onground geographical experience across sectors.) We request that the text be altered to “The bidder must be a well established consultant for more than 3 years having experience in conceptualizing /planning/engineering/design/proof checking, preparing feasibility reports, design and estimation, preparation of architectural /structural drawings, preparation of tender documents, evaluation of tenders, comprehensive project management with quality and quantity survey, preparation of bills etc. of the work (s) in the respective category.”</p>	<p>Addendum/Corrigendum-III.</p>
6.	<p>Clause 10; Page 14</p>	<p>No tender shall be considered which is not accompanied by a sum of Rs. 2, 50,000.00 (Two lakhs fifty thousand rupees only) as Earnest Money Deposit.</p>	<p>Request for Waiver from EMD Amount for MSMEs in compliance with S.O 581(E) published under Gazette of India as “Public Procurement Policy for Micro and Small Enterprises (MSEs) Order, 2012” – Kindly refer Clause 10 which –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • guides the public procurement process to provide tender sets at free of cost to registered MSMEs. • Exempts them from payment of earnest money deposits (EMDs) to registered MSMEs. We are 	<p>Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.</p>

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
			MSME Registered “Micro Enterprise”, having registration number TN02D0156946 and would request waiver of EMD Amount. We are MSME Registered “Micro Enterprise”, having registration number TN02D0156946 and would request waiver of EMD Amount.	
7.	Point 8.2.1 of Clause 8; Page 11	Class B (up to Project value Rs 200 Crs) – Min 20 FTE Please clarify about the min Full Time Equivalent (FTE) Professional for class B projects as its mentioned in the appendix – I <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For 10 FTE- 6 points • More than 10 FTE – 10 Points But in the point 8.2.1 of clause 8: Page 11 its mentioned Min 20 FTE	We request that the text be altered to “Class B (up to Project value Rs 200 Crs) – Min 10 FTE) ”	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
<u>3. Name of Firm: M/s Royal Haskonings DHV</u>				
8.	Cl 9.2, Pg 12		If a firm has been empanelled with IPA (published in January 2018) under various categories and would like to submit their credentials for upgradation in only a particular category, we assume that the firm needs to submit	Yes

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
			credentials only where they wish to upgrade. For other categories wherein they are already empanelled and do not wish for upgradation, we assume the empanelment will continue in those categories and there is no need to re-submit the credentials. We can submit a declaration for categories where we wish to continue the previous empanelment. Please confirm.	
9.	Appendix I , pg 23, 24		We hope that the firm can submit technical / project credentials of Group companies / subsidiaries of the parent company as qualifying projects. This was allowed in the previous empanelment. Please confirm.	Yes
10.	Cl 7, pg 10; Cl 8, pg 11, Cl 10, Pg 14		For firms that had empanelled previously with IPA (published in January 2018), need not submit the EMD again. Please confirm.	If already empanelled and EMD is already with IPA and applying for up-gradation, no need to submit EMD
11.	Pg 30 - Comments and Suggestions on the Terms Of Reference and on Facilities to be provided by the Employer		We kindly request you to remove this, as it is irrelevant for empanelment RfQ.	TP-5 shall be read as TP-3 Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
12.	Pg 31, Description of Approach,		We kindly request you to remove this, as it is irrelevant for empanelment RfQ.	TP-6 shall be read as TP-4 Please refer to

**Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021**

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
	Methodology and Work Plan for performing the assignment/job			Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
4. Name of Firm: M/s iMaritime Consultancy				
13.	Clause 8.2.1 - Min 20 FTE		Generally consulting firms have different associates for different projects. So having 20 FTE is a high number. Request IPA to make it 5.	Clause remains unchanged
14.	Clause 8.2.1 Min 12 FTE in case of Dredging works only.		Why 12 FTE required in dredging only? The tender has many other works in Category 1 while there is no dredging in Category 2. Request IPA to make it 5.	Clause remains unchanged
15.	Clause 8.3 Empanelment will be based on the Marks obtained as per the follows (out of 50 points)		Please clarify category A,B and specially what is category C.	Categories are based on marks obtained in the technical bid. Refer to page 12
16.	Clause 9.10		Are consortiums between Indian and Foreign firms allowed ?	Yes, subject to prevailing govt. guidelines
17.	Clause 10.0 Earnest Money Deposit		Do Firms registered under MSME also have to submit EMD ?	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
18.	Clause 1.1 in Appendix I - Experience Criteria		When Class A means Project Cost more than Rs 200 Cr then why for both options a) and b) project cost of not less than Rs 120 Cr and Rs 150 Cr respectively have been asked. Please clarify.	Clause remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
19.	8.0 Evaluation criteria for Category – 1 &2 works		IPA must consider assigning points to applicants' experience in the number of years and turn over.	Clause remains unchanged
5. Name of Firm: M/s Egis India Consulting Engineers Pvt Ltd.				
20.	Form TP-3 – comments & suggestions on TOR; Page No. 30 of 37	Comments & suggestions on TOR	We request the client to Kindly illustrate the Terms of Reference (TOR) for us to provide comments and suggestions.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
21.	Form TP-4- Approach & Methodology; Page No. 31 of 37	Technical approach, methodology and work plan are key components of the Technical Proposal. You are suggested to present your Technical Proposal divided into the following three chapters: a) Technical Approach and methodology, b) Work Plan, and c) Organization and Staffing	We request the client to kindly illustrate the Terms of Reference (TOR) for us to present technical approach, methodology etc.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
22.	Pg 31 - Form TP - 4	DESCRIPTION OF APPROACH, METHODOLOGY AND WORK PLAN FOR PERFORMING THE	Please confirm if this is required to be submitted at this stage?	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		ASSIGNMENT/JOB		
23.	Clause 8.1.1; Page 11 of 37	<i>The applicant should submit clients completion certificate for each assignment to support their claim along with firm's experience and team experience separately in not more than 2 pages for specific assignments by the authorized person of the firm.</i>	Request to consider the mandate letter or CA certificate in cases where certificate is not available.	In case of non availability of completion certificate, Certificate from Statutory Auditor for receipt of full fees of the assignment may be submitted
24.	Page 11 of 37	Similar Works: The Bidder/Tenderer should have experience of providing advisory services as mentioned in respective Categories under Article - 6	We request the client to please elaborate on this clause. Is Article 6 the same as "Section 6. Brief scope of various disciplines"?	Yes
25.	Clause 9.6 on Page 13 of 37	Clause states "For Bidders who are subsidiaries of foreign companies (Equity of Foreign entity more than 50%) and if their turnover are claimed, the IPA/Major Port shall insist a letter from the Parent Company to make their	Egis India Consulting Engineers Private Ltd is a subsidiary of Egis Group and we would like to use the credentials (both technical and financial) of our foreign entities to support our application for empanelment. Please confirm that this will be permitted provided we obtain the required letter from our foreign entities.	Yes Empanelment would be done for the Bidding entity

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		services available to Indian Company as required and demanded by IPA/Major Port without any extra financial liabilities”	Also, please confirm that the empanelment certificate will be provided in the name of submitting entity only or can be in the name of Group or each entity/subsidiary submitting credentials.	
26.	General	-	Can empanelled consultant sub contract the work?	No
27.	General	-	Please clarify if for each category, every Invitation to bid will be sent to each of the empaneled consultant?	Invitation will be sent as per the guidelines approved by the competent authority, which is also available at IPA’s website
28.	Page 50; TP-4	Description of Approach, Methodology And Work Plan For Performing The Assignment/Job	This section is dependent on the scope of work. Since there is no scope of work provided, please clarify as to what should be covered in this section.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
29.	Page 13; Clause 9.8	The applicant should have regular set up of a team of Architects/Engineers having sufficient experience.	We suggest adding that for general program management, this clause is not relevant, as the scope would not entail engineering or architectural work.	Clause remains unchanged
30.	Page 9 Clause 7.2; Qualification Criteria for empanelment of Consultants	It has been mentioned in the RFQ That “Experience of having completed similar works during last 7 years ending last day of month previous to the one in which applications are invited...”	We would like to suggest to change 7 years to 10 years for better competition.	Clause remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
31.	Page 11 Clause 8.1.1; Response	The applicant should submit client's completion certificate for each assignment to support their claim	We request to the client to kindly include completion certificate and/or work order to support the claim as it is sometime difficult to get the completion certificate due to administration reasons	In case of non availability of completion certificate, Certificate from Statutory Auditor for receipt of full fees of the assignment may be submitted
32.	Clause 8.0 –Note 2and8.3; Page 12	Note: The applicant has to score minimum 50% points in each of above sub criteria viz 8.1.1 and 8.2.1 for getting qualified 8.3 < 70% shall not be considered for empanelment	We request the client to clarify if this means that the bidder can qualify if it scored more than 50% in either of clause with a cumulative score of 70%. Is this understanding correct?	The applicant has to score minimum 50% points in each of above sub criteria viz 8.1.1 & 8.2.1 for getting qualified.
33. 34.	Page 18,19; Clause Appendix- I Experience Criteria as per Para 7.2		It is requested that the employer may evaluate the firms for Class A and Class B empanelment separately by allotting individual total scoring of 100 marks. It is requested that the Note given in row-1 under Class-A indicated may be modified as follows: “Note: For each additional project - additional 4 points will be given if the similar project cost is not less than Rs. 120 cr and 6 points if the similar project cost is not less than Rs. 150 cr and 10 points if the similar project cost is not less than Rs. 240 cr.” Based on the above change we expect that a consulting firm who has executed the project worth Rs 240 cr gets 40 points and further if the	Clause remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
			firm has 4 jobs of worth not less than Rs 240 cr then gains additional 10*4 ie 4 0 points. Thus making the total of 80 points based on project worth and the rest 20 points for human resources as per para 8.2.1	
6. Name of Firm: M/s Axykno Capital Services Pvt. Ltd.				
35.	10.0 EARNEST MONEY DEPOSIT Page No. 14	No tender shall be considered which is not accompanied by a sum of Rs. 2, 50,000.00 (Two lakhs fifty thousand rupees only) as Earnest Money Deposit	Kindly grant an exemption / relax the criteria for payment of the EMD Amount for those bidders who are registered as micro, small, medium under MSME and have valid MSME Registration Certificate. Govt. of India, Ministry of Finance published a Office Memorandum in respect of EMD; which clearly said that “On account of slowdown in economy due to the pandemic, there is acute financial crunch among many commercial entities and contractors, which in turn is affecting timely execution of the contracts and as per sub clause 4 it is reiterated that notwithstanding anything contained in Rule 171 of GFRs 2017 or any other Rule or provision contained on the Procurement Manuals, no provisions regarding Bid Security should be kept in the Bid documents uptill 31st December 2021 and only provision of	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
36.	6. Brief scope in various disciplines , page no. 9 & 11	The RFQ document states ”Similar Experience”. The Bidder/Tenderer should	Kindly confirm the definition of Similar Experience. Is Article- 6 is the same as Section 6 : Scope of	Yes

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		have experience of providing advisory services as mentioned in respective Categories under Article - 6	Work for various categories?	
			Sir, we are interested in attending Pre bid meeting schedule on 31.08.21. Kindly confirm whether permission from your office is needed for attending the pre bid meeting. Please allow us to attend the pre bid meeting	NA
<u>7. Name of Firm: M/s LSI Group of Companies</u>				
37.	Note Page no.4	The bidder needs to submit EMD irrespective of the no categories	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. For Traffic Studies and Logistic Management Services – We will be applying from LSI Engineering & Consultants Ltd. (100% subsidiary of LSI Financial Services Pvt. Ltd.) along with a consortium member. 2. For Project Management Services – We will be applying from LSI Financial Services Pvt. Ltd., in Association with LSI Engineering & Consultants Ltd. and a consortium member. <p>Kindly suggest the number of EMDs to be submitted</p>	Each bidding entity has to submit EMD separately

**Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021**

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
38.	4	Receipt of offers on or before 1500 Hrs on 29/09/2021	Kindly provide time extension for submission of bid by ten days for formation of Consortium members.	Already extended up to 20.10.2021
39.	6.2 Category – 2 (a) Page no. 9	Traffic studies and Logistic Management Services: This includes various traffic studies, Port and hinter land connectivity, CFS, ICD, Marketing etc.	Kindly specify the context of Marketing in the scope of work	Clause remains unchanged
40.	6.2 Category – 2 (b)	Project Management Services: This includes detailed engineering drawings, tender documents preparation, evaluation of offers and project execution supervision , etc.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please suggest if Lenders' Independent Engineer (LIE), Independent Engineer (IE), Authorities Engineer (AE), Agencies for Specialized Monitoring (ASM) can be considered under Project Execution Supervision scope of work. • Kindly bifurcate the scope of work with different categories as mention below and specify if we can apply for any one category, for us to apply without any Consortium: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detail Engineering Drawing • Tender documents preparation and 	Clause remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
			evaluation of offers • Project Execution Supervision	
41.	7 (c) of Page no 10	For Class – A One completed similar work for Project costing not less than <u>Rs.100 crores</u>	Kindly modify the Project Cost to Rs. 240 Crore and above	Clause remains unchanged
42.	Note at page 29	Please provide documentary evidence from the client i.e., copy of work order, contract and completion certificate for each of above-mentioned assignments.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kindly consider Email received from the client as Work Order • Kindly consider Payment received from the Client against Completion Certificate 	<p>Clause remains unchanged for Work Order</p> <p>In case of non availability of completion certificate, Certificate from Statutory Auditor for receipt of full fees of the assignment may be submitted</p>
43.		Qualification of FTE	Kindly include Finance Professionals along with Engineers.	Clause remains unchanged
44.		Approach & Methodology	As A&M is project specific and therefore should be included in the RFP and excluded from the RFQ, as the Scope of Work and detailed Nature of Work has not been provided in the RFQ.	Clause remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
8. Name of Firm: M/s Ernst & Young				
45.	Pg 4	Applicant is required to submit separate bid for each category and Class for which he intends to be empanelled. For avoidance of any doubt, if the applicant wants to apply for (a) Marine Works (b) Railway work in Category 1 and Traffic study in Category 2, then he has to submit three different application for each class viz Class-A or Class-B, as the case may be. The bidder needs to submit only one EMD irrespective of the number of categories. The Bid document fee against each category must be submitted separately.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> We request the Authority to consider a single submission against all the Categories for empanelment We can alternatively submit a letter, enclosing the request for empanelment in multiple categories along with an evaluation sheet stating the fulfilment of criteria of each category 	Please refer Note at Page 4
46.	Pg 7, Clause 1	The panel so prepared will be valid for three years from the date of engagement.	Kindly clarify whether the empanelment period is 1 year or 3 years.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
47.	Pg 10, Clause 7.2	Experience of having successfully completed	Given the COVID-19 pandemic scenario over the last 17 months, there have been limited	Clause remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		similar works during last 7 years, ending last day of the month previous to the one in which applications are invited should be either of following	engagements in the Ports sector. Accordingly, we request the Authority to consider experience of last 10 years .	
48.	Pg 10, Clause 7.2	Experience of having successfully completed similar works during last 7 years, ending last day of the month previous to the one in which applications are invited should be either of following: - For Class –A a) Three completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs 120 Crores. OR b) Two completed similar works for the Project each costing not less than Rs.150 Crores. OR	We understand that the following has been mentioned in the Appendix-I as scoring criteria for Class A empanelment . There seems to be a mismatch in the Project cost in following clause and clause 7.2 c). We request the Authority to kindly clarify on this.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III. 240 crores for 1 project

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		c) One completed similar work for Project costing not less than Rs.100 crores.		
<u>9. Name of Firm: M/s Meinhardt Singapore Pte. Ltd.</u>				
49.	B) Class-A (Consultancy for the projects with estimated cost of Project more than ₹ 200 cr.) Category -1: c) Pg no. 3	Railway Works	Please clarify whether any project completed for Metro Railway, MRTS would also be considered under similar works for Railway Works	Railway category has been withdrawn and this will not be considered for empanelment. Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
50.	Article 7 Para 7.2 For Class A Pg no. 10	One completed similar work for Project costing not less than Rs. 100 Crores.	As per Appendix 1, Pg no 23, Clause 1 the criteria for qualification for Class A is given as: One completed similar work for Project costing not less than Rs, 240Crores. Both the statements are contradictory, we request to kindly clarify	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III. 240 crores for 1 project
51.	Article 8, Para 8.2.1, Class A, Pg No. 11	Min 20 FTE, minimum 12 FTE in case of Dredging works only.	Since no minimum qualification criteria are provided, we presume that, all technical/non-technical experts working on any current project of the company will be considered as FTE.	Yes. FTE shall be on the roll of the firm on full time basis.

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
52.	(Technical Proposal – Standard Forms), Pg no 25 and page no. 32	Form TP 3: Curriculum Vitae Form TP 5: Curriculum Vitae	Form TP- 3, Pg no. 30 given is: Comments, and Suggestions on the Terms of Reference and on facilities to be provided by the employer. Since there is no TOR provided in the document and the current RFQ is only for the empanelment also the qualification and requirements of experts are not given. We presume that only the list of FTE’s needs to be submitted along with our proposal and detailed CVs needs to be submitted at this stage. We request you to kindly clarify.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III. Number of FTEs and CVs to be submitted as per TP3
53.	(Technical proposal-Standard Forms) Pg no 25	Form TP 4: Information regarding any conflicting activities and declaration thereof	On Pg N. 31; Form TP-4 is given to be description of Approach, Methodology & Work plan for performing the Assignment / Job this is not relevant as the TOR is not provided and it would be difficult to write A&M with the limited information provided in the current RFQ document therefore we assume that Approach and Methodology need not be submitted at this stage and information regarding any conflicting and declaration thereof need to be submitted under Form TP 6. We request you to kindly clarify	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
10. <u>Name of Firm: M/s EKA INFRA CONSULTANTS PRIVATE LIMITED</u>				
54.	Clause 7.1/ Page 10	Average annual turnover during the last 3 financial	Eka Infra has been established in 2018, thus we have the financials of last three years ending with	Tender condition remains unchanged

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
		years ending with 31st March (2017 – 18, 2018 – 19, 2019 – 20) should be atleast Rs. 200 lakhs for Class A and Rs. 60 Lakhs for Class B – empanelment.	31st March (2018 – 19, 2019 – 20, 2020 – 21). Please clarify if we can submit the financials for the last 3 years.	
55.	Clause 9.2/ Page 13	The bidder must be a well-established consultant for more than 5 years having experience in conceptualizing/planning/engineering/design/proof checking, preparing feasibility reports, design and estimation, preparation of architectural / structural drawings, preparation of tender documents, evaluation of tenders, comprehensive project management with quality and quantity survey, preparation of bills etc. of the work (s) in the respective category.	Kindly change the clause as below: “The bidder must be a well-established consultant for more than 3 years having experience in conceptualizing/planning/engineering/ design/proof checking, preparing feasibility reports, design and estimation, preparation of architectural / structural drawings, preparation of tender documents, evaluation of tenders, comprehensive project management with quality and quantity survey, preparation of bills etc. of the work (s) in the respective category.”	Tender condition remains unchanged

**Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021**

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
11. Name of Firm: M/s Arch Aid Architects & Engineers Pvt. Ltd.				
56.	Page no. 14, Point no. 10	Earnest Money Deposit is 2,50,000/-	It is requested that it should be reduced to minimum or should be waived due to Covid -19. Most of the places it is waived due to financial consultant because of COVID-19	Tender condition remains unchanged
12. Name of Firm: M/s Kitco Ltd.				
57.	9.3 Tender application fee	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee.	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee. <u>However, MSME firms are exempted from paying the the Application fee.</u>	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
58.	10.0 Earnest Money Deposit	No tender shall be considered which is not accompanied by a sum of Rs. 2,50,000.00 as Earnest Money Deposit. In the event of the bidder with withdrawing the tender before the expiry of 180 days from the date of opening of the tender, the tender shall be cancelled and the earnest money deposit therewith forfeited by the IPA.	No tender shall be considered which is not accompanied by a sum of Rs. 2,50,000.00 as Earnest Money Deposit. <u>However, MSME firms are exempted from paying the Earnest Money Deposit.</u> In the event of the bidder withdrawing the tender before the expiry of 180 days from the date of opening of the tender, the tender shall be cancelled and the earnest money deposit therewith forfeited by the IPA.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
13. Name of Firm: M/s LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd.				

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
59.	FORM TP-3, Page-30 and FORM TP-4, page-31.	TP-3: Comments and Suggestions on the Terms of Reference and on facilities to be provided by the Employer; and TP-4: Description of Approach, Methodology and Work Plan for performing the Assignment/Job	We understand that the shortlisting criteria will be based on the Firm Capability, Past Experience of the Firm and Team strength only similar to the earlier process. The RFQ also includes Forms TP- 3 and 4. However, to respond to these forms, the Terms of Reference (ToR) is required but the same is not included in this RFQ. Therefore, we understand that we don't have to submit filled in Form TP-3 and TP-4. Further we also understand only Forms TP-1, TP-2, TP-5 and TP-6 are to be submitted. Please clarify.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
60.	9.3 Tender application fee	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee.	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee. However, MSME firms are exempted from paying the the Application fee.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
61.	9.3 Tender application fee	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee.	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee. However, MSME firms are exempted from paying the the Application fee.	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.
62.	9.3 Tender application fee	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee.	The applicant has to submit along with the bid document Rs. 5,000/- as non-refundable application fee. However, MSME firms are exempted from paying	Please refer to Addendum/Corrigendum-III.

Request for Qualification (RFQ) for upgradation of Existing Empanelment of Consultants and for increase in List
CLARIFICATION OF THE (PRE--BID MEETING HELD ON on 31.08.2021)
IPA/GAD/Consultant/2021

27.10.2021

S.No	Clause Description	Details of Item per Tender Requirement	Query/ Suggestion	Clarifications
			the Application fee.	
